

Publication Ethics and Malpractice Statement

The *Journal of Karnataka Medico Legal Society (JKAMLS)* is a peer-reviewed journal, an official publication of *Karnataka Medico Legal Society*. JKAMLS publishes original research papers, short communications, editorials, review articles, case reports written in English, which deal with forensic medicine and related field subjects. The manuscripts are subjected to anonymous peer-review process prior to their publication by at least two reviewers who are experts in the area of the particular paper.

The **rights** and **responsibilities** of editors, authors, and reviewers are the following:

Editors:

- The editors must warrant that the manuscripts received will undergo a process of anonymous peer review.
- Editors have complete responsibility and authority to reject/accept an article. The editors will only assess the intellectual value of the manuscripts, regardless of race, nationality, gender, ethnicity, beliefs or ideology of the author.
- Editors should ensure that all research material they publish conforms to internationally accepted ethical guidelines.
- The editors will observe the norms of the KAMLS and international standards in copyright matters.
- Editors should not allow any conflicts of interest between staff, authors and reviewers

Authors:

- Authors must certify regarding the full originality of their work. The use of other people's works must be adequately cited as per publication guidelines.
- Authors must certify that the manuscript has not previously been published elsewhere or it is not currently being considered for publication elsewhere.
- Authors should not submit manuscripts that reproduce papers already published, in part or in whole, in any other journals or publication media.
- Authors can use compilations of their own work, their own articles or part of them while preparing manuscripts.
- All Authors mentioned in the manuscript must have significantly contributed to the research.
- Authors must state that all data in the paper are real and authentic. Authors must notify the Editors of any conflicts of interest.
- Authors must identify all sources used in the creation of their manuscript.

Reviewers:

- Reviewers should keep all information regarding papers confidential and treat them as privileged information.
- Reviewers will be fully objective and will clearly argue their viewpoints.
- Reviewers should report possible cases of plagiarism or too obvious similarities between the evaluated manuscript and other published works they know.
- Reviewers should point out any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published paper of which they have personal knowledge.
- Reviewers should not review manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors or institutions connected to the papers.
- Reviews should be conducted objectively; with no personal criticism of the author. Reviewers should express their views clearly with supporting arguments.
- Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors.